

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE-United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/444,173 | 11/19/1999 | FONG PONG | HP10981470-1 | 8306 | |
| 7590 11/04/2003 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| IP ADMINISTRATION | | | SONG, JASMINE | | |
| LEGAL DEPARTMENT 20BN HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| P O BOX 10301 | | | 2188 | 2) | |
| PALO ALTO, (| JA 943030890 | | DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003 | 3 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

| <u></u> | | | |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 1/ |
| Advisory Action | 09/444,173 | PONG, FONG | <i></i> |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| \ | Jasmine Song | 2188 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel | ation. A proper reply h places the applica | y to a ition in |
| | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | g date of the final rejectine FINAL REJECTION. | on. See MPEP |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai | ount of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final | opriate extension Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR | · · | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or sir | nplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOTE: | ng a corresponding number of f | inally rejected claim | S. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | . , | eparate, timely filed | amendment |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | | dered but does NO | T place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were | e newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)□ approved or b)□ disapp | roved by the Exami | ner. |
| 9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | · | |
| 10. Other: | | | 1 |
| | • | | / |
| | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

the Examiner considered the applicant's arguments filed on 10/14/2003, however, the arguments regarding independent claims 1,9,19 are not persuasive because the arguments are addressed in the previous final rejection, therefore, the Examiner maintains the previous ground final office action filed on 08/14/2003.

10/30/22

Mano PADMANARMAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT ELAMINER

TC 2100